To:				PCT					
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)					
^	licant's or agent's file	reference							
	form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
L.	mational application I T/CH2004/00026		International filing date (day/month/year) 28.04.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 30.04.2003				
4	national Patent Class IL9/12, A61L9/03		both national classification	and IPC					
Applicant GIVAUDAN SA									
<u> </u>									
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	pinion						
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establish	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	⊠ Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum							
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION								
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For further option	ns, see Form PC	:T/ISA/220.		1				
3.	For further detail	s. see notes to F	Form PCT/ISA/220.						
-	·								

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Katsoulas, K

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8613



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CH2004/000260

_					
_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
	☐ a sequence listing				
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:				
	☐ in written format				
	☐ in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.				
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:				

_	Box No). II	Priority								
1.	. The following document has not been furnished:										
		☒	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).								
			translation of the e	arlier appl	lication who	ose priority	y has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
		onsequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has evertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
2.	has	s be	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	3. Additional observations, if necessary:										
	Day Ma		Danas and state		les Dule 40) h := 1/=\/i	A solida managal da massallar inscredissa plana au				
_	Box No industr) with regard to novelty, inventive step or rting such statement				
1.	Stateme	ent									
	Novelty	(N)		Yes:	Claims	2					
				No:	Claims	1					
	Inventiv	e st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	2					
				No:	Claims	1					
	Industri	al ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1,2					
				No:	Claims						
2.	Citation	s an	d explanations								
			te sheet								
	300 301	Jara	10 311001								
_	Box No	. VII	Certain defects	in the int	ernationa	l applicati	on .				
— Th											
The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet											
	see set	Jara	te Sileet								
	Box No	. VII	l Certain observ	ations on	the interr	national a	oplication				
Th							n, and drawings or on the question whether the				
	claims are fully supported by the description, are made:										

see separate sheet

PCT/CH2004/000260

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 361 752 B1 (DANES IMRE J ET AL) 26 March 2002 (2002-03-26)

D2: US 2003/005620 A1 (ZOBELE FRANCO ET AL) 9 January 2003 (2003-01-

09)

D3: US 3 746 255 A (SURLOFF B) 17 July 1973 (1973-07-17)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A dispensing device (130) comprising a refill (136) including a reservoir and a porous wick (144) having a recess in its upper portion, and an element (146) engaging the shaped recess when the device is assembled.

Re Item VII.

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII.

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2. The term "housing element" used in both claims makes the claims unclear, as no housing as such has been defined (Art. 6 PCT).
- 3. In independent process claim 2, no reservoir containing a volatile liquid has been defined. Given that no direct reference to the apparatus of claim 1 is given, the scope of claim 2 is not clear (Art. 6).